

No. 111 NOVEMBER 1977

Spearhead

20p

RACE-MIXING
PROGRAMMES
FOR 13 YEAR OLDS ...

YOU IN THE
SEVENTIES



YNF launches campaign ...

**'BAN THIS POISON
FROM OUR SCHOOLS!'**

Nationalist comment

WHAT WE THINK

on the month's news

Campaign against S. Africa

Spearhead has frequently commented on the futility of orthodox 'conservative' thinking on many of the most pressing dangers facing White national and racial survival. 'Conservative' reaction to the arms embargo imposed on South Africa by the United Nations with British approval, supposedly because of South Africa's "internal repression", was a classic case in point.

"Has the West taken leave of its senses over South Africa?" asked the *Daily Telegraph*, commenting that the White House seemed to be the main focus of 'error'. Surely, this kind of action against South Africa was not going to assist the much needed "evolution towards a more multi-racial society"?

In this kind of comment is summarised all that is most deadly to the survival of the West in what passes for 'conservatism' today. First of all, there is the implicit liberal assumption, held by all respectable 'right-

wingers', that multi-racialism is indeed a desirable objective in South Africa. It is not a question of opposing such acts of criminal stupidity as the arms embargo on principle, because South Africa is right to pursue a racial policy. No, 'conservatives' entirely agree with the Marxists on the need for global race-mixing and White surrender; their objection is simply that global race-mixing and White surrender will be better achieved by other means.


Secondly, there is the inane idea that Western measures against South Africa have anything to do with policy makers "taking leave of their senses", or making 'mistakes'. If these conservatives ever managed to rid themselves of their liberal guilt feelings for one moment they would realise that the most senseless and grotesque error of all is not the arms embargo but the very concept of 'multi-racialism' itself. And from that would follow the question: Is it really possible that the determination of the international policy-makers (who are not fools but shrewd and calculating men) to impose this utter insanity on South Africa, amounts to nothing more than a big 'mistake'?

The idea, of course, is absurd. There is one reason for their determination to destroy White South Africa and turn it into another despotic Black shambles. At the highest level of Western policy-making there is a conspiracy to impose World Government. Any robust White nation that is resistant to that scheme must be crushed.

High class betrayal

Field Marshal Lord Carver, the man who has accepted the job of sealing the fate of the White man in Rhodesia, represents all that is most detestable in the British establishment.

This wretched individual is the very



Field Marshal Lord Carver

essence of what Senator McCarthy once colourfully described as "betrayal walking about in striped pants", and it is his type that has dominated the ruling strata of this country for more than thirty years.

This man, who is now negotiating to surrender White Rhodesia to Nkomo's terrorist gang and to create a future Rhodesian army out of these murderous savages was educated at Winchester and Sandhurst. He belongs, in other words, to that class which once produced the country's boldest and greatest soldiers, administrators and rulers; yet now is synonymous with the kind of effeteness and defeatism that has pervaded the corridors of British government for decades. From this class a century ago came the empire builders who tamed Africa and dared all to create the colossus of British world power. Today, their sickly liberal counterparts happily preside over its destruction. Those who served under Carver indeed remember him as an ultra-liberal and a man with a positive hostility to everything associated with British colonial power.

Was it perhaps the horrific blood-letting of the First World War that was ultimately responsible for this sapping of the will, this spinelessness and decadence in what we call the "British ruling class" of today? Did it cut down the flower of that really British breed, leaving only the feeblest elements among them to survive?

Whatever the reason, that upper stratum of British society is now in a state of spiritual putrescence, as the activities of one of their number, Lord Carver, clearly prove.

What Britain so desperately needs at the helm is an entirely new breed of men, of new vigour and new blood.

Establishment corruption

If anything clear has emerged from the long and rather tedious saga of Jeremy Thorpe, Norman Scott and the hired gunman, it is that behind the facade of establishment politics lies total corruption, double-dealing and sordid criminality. That fact is patently obvious, whether or not the accusing finger is pointed in any particular

S P E A R H E A D

No. 111 NOVEMBER 1977

Office: 91 Connaught Road, Teddington, Middlesex (Tel: 01-977 2452)

Publisher: John Tyndall

Editor: Richard Verrall B.A. Contributing Editor: Martin Webster

Spearhead exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British nationalist opinion. It is privately published by its founder and is independent of all political parties and groups.

Unless specifically stated to the contrary, the views expressed in signed articles or letters are the sole responsibility of their authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Editor or the policies of any political organisation *Spearhead* may support editorially.

The appearance of an advertisement in *Spearhead* is not necessarily indicative that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function advertised.

Spearhead welcomes enquiries from potential advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. Advertising matter, accompanied by pre-payment, must be submitted at least one month prior to the publishing date (normally the first day of each month) of the issue for which the advertisement is intended. The Editor reserves the right to refuse to publish advertisements submitted.

The Editor is pleased to receive from readers manuscripts of articles for possible publication which should normally be not longer than 1,250 words and typed in double-spacing. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Spearhead* copyright unless authors specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles accepted for publication should shortage of space or editorial judgment require such alteration to be made.

Those wishing to re-print *Spearhead* articles must first gain the permission of the Editor and undertake to include with the re-printed matter the author's name and the name and address of *Spearhead*.

direction. Whiffs of the same odour were detected at the time of the suicide of crooked Peachey Properties king, Sir Eric Miller (one of the many Zionists ennobled by Sir Harold Wilson) who at the time of his death was somehow in possession of secret Scotland Yard files concerning the investigations of his former friend Judah Binstock for a multi-million pound currency swindle.

It is curious, however, what membership of the Establishment club can do for its members when corruption of this kind is revealed. People who, but for their membership of the Establishment's club, would either be hounded out of politics for good or have their name thoroughly blackened after their death, are seen to survive in spite of it all, or, in the case of a corrupt capitalist like Miller, receive a highly sympathetic television profile from the very left-wing, anti-capitalist *London Programme*. Even war hero Douglas Bader was brought in to say what a very fine, wonderful and generous person the crook Miller was.

What sickening hypocrisy!

Roots of terrorism

The claim that left-wing terrorism of the kind carried out in Germany recently by the Baader-Meinhof gang is the result of the "legacy of Hitler" was as predictable as it was absurd. It is indeed far more likely that it is the product of exactly the opposite.

It must not be forgotten, of course, that the masterminds behind international terrorism have deliberately chosen Germany as a principle target in the hope of causing the 'fascist reaction' which they believe is essential to the long-term plan of subversion. Nevertheless, terrorism has found a fertile ground among the aimless left-wing student and intellectual elements in Germany. And if we are to look for the underlying reasons for this, they will more likely be found in the nature of post-war West German society rather than the nature of totalitarian German society, that is, in decades of rampant liberalism on the one hand, and the futility of gross materialism on the other.

In contrast, it is to the spectacular defeat of terrorism that we must look if we are to find evidence of the legacy of martial Germany. The brilliant rescue operation at Mogadishu by crack German commandos was precisely the product of those military virtues now so universally ridiculed in Western society, and of which the Germans in particular are made to feel eternally ashamed.

It will be interesting to see, incidentally, how many epic films are made of the Mogadishu operation. The latest count of films on the Entebbe operation is no less than five, and it has been suggested that this may have something to do with the sympathetic interest of film moguls, not so much in epic rescue dramas generally, but in Israeli epic rescue dramas.



SOVIET TANKS IN EAST BERLIN, JUNE 1953
The reality of Communism — not so idyllic

Celebrating Bolshevism

For Britain and the West, sixty years of Soviet Communism has meant not only sixty years of horrendous murder and repression inside the Soviet Union, but sixty years of war waged against the foundations of Western society, sixty years of ceaseless subversion, and sixty years of the rapid spread of world Communism to the point where hundreds of millions of people are enslaved under it.

To look at the programmes screened by BBC and ITV in celebration of the sixtieth anniversary of the Bolshevik Revolution, you would hardly have gained this impression. It would, of course, be absurd for the media to ignore altogether such a momentous event in the history of the twentieth century as the Russian Revolution, but it was the tone of these celebratory programmes which amounted to such insidious propaganda. Instead of screening a special interview with, for example, Solzhenitsyn (remember him?) or a documentary about the terror of the Cheka under Lenin or the careers of perverted monsters like Beria and Yagoda, we were treated to quite false accounts of the Bolshevik seizure of power, followed by respectful histories of Russia since the Revolution, extolling the great 'achievements' and 'progress' made under Communism. Any innocent viewer watching the dramatised ITV version would have come away with a very favourable picture of Stalin.

This subtle kind of propaganda has the effect, as it is intended to, of legitimising the idea of the Communist tyranny in the minds of ordinary, unthinking people. Life among the smiling citizens of Russia, as depicted in Soviet propaganda films of which extensive footage was used, seemed to be little different from our way of life, indeed at times it seemed positively idyllic. Maybe things are not quite so bad over there now? Maybe, what with all the 'progress' they are making, we could do a lot worse ourselves! That was the message we received.

More EEC madness

The Common Market has proved to be a disaster for Britain in every respect, but for none more so perhaps than the British fishing industry. While Britain's own waters are being over-fished by EEC countries to the point where the very survival of our fishing industry is at stake, the latest insanity is that Britain has been banned by Norway from cod fishing off her northern coast — not because Britain had exceeded her own 'quota', but because France had grabbed more than she was entitled to, and had thus fished up to the limit for the whole of the EEC. The madness of this must be clear to anybody, for if individual states were able to act individually in matters of this sort rather than be tied to a 'community policy', it is inconceivable that Britain would have been penalised in this ridiculous way. Similarly, as a result of our defeat by the EEC on a proposal to impose a ban on fishing off the north-east coast of Scotland so as to protect our threatened stocks, the Government says it is ready to go it alone and impose its own fishing ban. Back to square one.

The essential farce of the Common Market is that nations are still competing with each other in the most cut-throat manner (as they always have done and always will) but under the pretence that they are acting as a 'Community'. The difference between this farce and straightforward competition between individual states is that with the latter you sink or swim according to your own efforts, whereas with the former you sink when your 'partners' tell you to. It is ironic that nothing has proved the nationalist case more effectively than the Common Market.

NF of Canada requires complete or nearly complete set of back copies of *Spearhead*. Write to: Hal Thompson, 214b Talfourd Street, Sarnia, Ontario, Canada N7T 1P1.

YNF Campaign is launched...


'STOP THIS RACE-MIX BRAINWASHING IN SCHOOLS'



ILEA's ideal couple, as shown to school children

YOUNG NATIONAL FRONT, the newly formed youth section of the National Front, is to launch a major campaign in London schools against a shocking new brand of pro-race mixing brainwashing aimed at 13 to 16 year old school children.

The Inner London Education



Birth of racially-mixed baby is depicted

Authority has produced a series of television programmes for transmission in schools under the general title of *You in the Seventies*. These programmes contain the crudest type of propaganda aimed at convincing youngsters that multi-racialism and inter-racial sex are normal and desirable.

Young National Front will be campaigning against a series of plays intended for broadcasting in the Spring Term of 1978 entitled *Personal Relationships*. These are described as "five, 25 minute plays reflecting the multi-racial nature of life in London in 1977."

The first play, *Proof Positive*, is described in the ILEA brochure for the programme: "Mandy Lewis and Winston Roberts love each other, and Mandy becomes pregnant. Mandy is White and Winston is Black." The play is a blatant advertisement for sex between White girls and Immigrants.

Another play, *One Home, One Away*, shows the actual birth of a half-caste baby to Mandy, a White girl.

It's A Mug's Game is a brazen attempt to excuse muggings by Immigrants by depicting Winston as so desperate to relieve Mandy's depression that he "does a bit of mugging and gets caught."

Young National Front school

activists told *Spearhead* that their aim, between now and the Spring Term next year, is to get these propaganda films banned from schools.

Said one 16 year old YNF spokesman: "This stuff is revolting. It's sheer poison. They are aiming it deliberately at immature minds as young as 13, and we are going to get these films banned at all costs. As soon as we hear that any school in London is making arrangements for pupils to see these films we will go into action. We will organise petitions among parents and pupils, and we will picket these schools in order to draw attention to the head teacher's approval of this sickening propaganda. We will be demonstrating outside the ILEA's headquarters, and we will issue special leaflets warning parents and the public about what is being shown to their children. We want to find out who was responsible for this material and pressure for their dismissal. These films are going to be stopped."

Confessions of a Music Lover sets out to help students studying pop for the CSE music examination. The five programmes demonstrate the range of thought available in talking and writing about pop and the significance that music can have in the lives of very different people.

The second programme of the series, *Reggae and Rasta*, featuring the music of Matumbi, will be transmitted this week on Channel 4 (for times see listing).

The Caribbean is also the subject of the most recent unit in the **World History Project**. The introductory programme, *Islands and Peoples*, will be transmitted on Channel 4.

West Indian English, which aims to help teachers involved with children of West Indian origin by discussing their language and the problems of adjusting to a new society, is also being repeated this term.

And this is what the ILEA are putting out through the BBC

• NORTH SEA MIRAGE

We have heard so many fairy-tales from Establishment politicians about Britain's impending economic recovery that one would have thought the British people would be immune by now. The apparent success of the "We're all going to be saved by North Sea Oil" mirage promoted by Callaghan suggests, however, that they are not.

Is there, in fact, any real evidence that a dependence on our own oil resources, of itself, is going to bring economic recovery? The short answer is No. Look at the record so far. Already Britain's own oil output from the North Sea has risen from zero to 50 per cent — and in precisely the period of that rise Britain's standard of living has fallen faster and more drastically than at any time since the Slump of the 1930's. Secondly, by the time that Britain is able to produce 100 per cent of her oil, the profits that do not go to the American firms which already own huge slices of it will be going to the international bankers when our debts fall due. Thirdly, oil from the North Sea, unlike OPEC oil, is enormously costly to produce. By the time we are able to produce all our needs, we will have invested huge sums in a high cost energy without at the same time having properly researched and invested in cheaper alternative energy programmes which will increasingly dominate the 1980's. Finally, in order to create the mirage of an economic miracle, the oil is being extracted so fast that within twenty years it will have practically run out anyway.

It is the same pathetic story of shortsightedness, incompetent bungling and borrowing that is the hallmark of our current political leaders. All 'our' eggs are being put in the one magical basket of the North Sea. But the price of putting them there is artificially high, half the eggs belong to somebody else, the basket is on loan, it's nearly empty and it's the only one we've got.

• FOR POOR WHITES ONLY

The Smith government has already embarked on its programme to hand over White Rhodesia to the Blacks, but the people chosen to suffer first are, as in Britain, the poorer Whites. They are apparently regarded as sufficiently unimportant to be used as some kind of showpiece for the Great Leap Forward into Multi-Racialism.

The first move towards racial desegregation is taking place in Lochinvar Township, a "low-income" suburb in the south of Salisbury inhabited mainly by White railway workers. New regulations will allow Blacks to occupy 41 residential plots in this formerly all-White area. Hotels and other facilities previously reserved for Whites will now become multi-racial.

These apparently unimportant "low-income" Whites were previously, of course, the very backbone of Smith's Rhodesian

IN BRIEF

Front party. Anyone still in any doubt why we have no time for the ruling Smith gang?

• POLITICAL SUICIDE

U.S. President Jimmy Carter, under pressure from the extremely powerful Zionist lobby in America to take a militant pro-Israel stand at the Geneva talks, was quoted by 'insider' columnist Joseph Kraft as telling Congressmen in October that: "I would rather commit suicide politically than hurt Israel."

Could this be, I wonder, a misquotation? Certainly a more accurate statement would be: "To hurt Israel would be committing suicide politically", as I am sure nobody knows better than Mr. Carter.

• CP SET-BACK

The split in the Communist Party of Great Britain has led to the emergence of some welcome news on that party's sorry state of decline. As the National Front grows stronger every day, it is good to hear of the relative decline of one of the main bastions of the Left.

The split was caused as a result of controversy over the Communist party's draft programme *The British Road to Socialism* with its espousal of Euro-Communism. The split was led by tight-lipped, nasty little veteran Stalinist Sid French, who formed the 'New Communist Party' in which there will be "not the slightest trace of anti-Sovietism".

French summarised the state of the Communist Party: "No chance of an MP for all the electoral work, a handful of local Councillors, *Morning Star* circulation crashing, the Young Communist League collapsed, and no more than 6,000 to 8,000 people actively involved in the party."

CP General Secretary Gordon McLennan admitted in his report to the Executive Committee in July that recent CP decline represented "a serious set-back for the party."

• THOSE EGYPTIANS

French police last month seized the Mr. Big of international terrorism. They have proof that Henri Curiel was placed in Paris by the Russian KGB to organise world-wide Baader-Meinhof style attacks and hijackings in order to bring about a collapse of law and order in Western countries. One of his men is believed to be Carlos 'The Jackal', whom

police are now convinced planned the Schleyer kidnapping and the Lufthansa hijack.

The *Daily Mail* informed us that "Mr. Curiel, an Egyptian Jew and the cousin of Russian spy George Blake", is not under arrest but is being detained as a 'state guest'.

• MORE HARMONY

The "multi-racial concept" in France seems to be working as badly there as it is here, with little sign that the new generation of young Frenchmen are taking to the idea with enthusiasm. Following the recent announcement of plans to repatriate France's Algerian population, a court in Metz sentenced four youths aged 18 to 21 to a year in jail after they admitted assaulting at least 15 "North African types" in July.

After sentencing, one of the youths told the judge: "I'm a racist. I admit it. And it's possible I'll start again when I get out of jail."

• DIETING NOTES

How about this little morsel from the *New York Herald Tribune* last month:

"DACC, Oct 23 (Reuters) — Rats a foot long terrorised some villages and devoured food crops near the Bangladesh-Burma border last week, officials said. The rats came en masse from hills nearby, causing most villagers to see a scourge sent by Buddha, but the Pankho tribe, which eats rats, welcomed the invasion."

SPECIAL OFFER

Hall-marked Jubilee silver ingots complete with silver chains. 1oz. £9.50, ½oz. £6.50. Postage per order Registered 75p, Recorded 25p. Other silver and 9ct gold jewellery available.

Spearhead issues 77 and prior to 76 required. Write to: J. King, 71 Whitehall Gardens, Chingford, London E4 6EJ.

NF LEATHER GOODS

Key rings	60p
Key Cases	£2.25
Belts (state size)	£4.50

All prices include post and packing. All items made of good quality black leather by local craftsman. Good discount for larger orders. Allow 28 days for delivery. Payment with order. Send to: Mr. N. F. Hedger, 64 Berrycombe Vale, Bodmin, Cornwall.

PARLIAMENTARY CANDIDATE

Enfield Branch, one of the strongest and best established in the country, invites applications from fully paid up members of the party for the position of Prospective Parliamentary Candidate for the constituency of Enfield North.

Enfield Branch is looking for a candidate of good quality. Details of the seat and application forms may be obtained from the Elections Officer, Enfield Branch, 6 Canada Avenue, London N.18. Completed application forms should be returned within 4 weeks of publication of this month's edition of *Spearhead*.

This is the text of the closing speech delivered to the 1977 Annual Conference of the National Front by NF Chairman, John Tyndall.

Mr. Chairman, fellow members,

THIS MEETING comes at the end of a year in which the impact of our movement upon the public of this country has been greater than at any time in our 10-year history. Perhaps it's not an accident that in the same year the intensity of opposition that has been stirred up against us has been greater than at any time in our party's history. From the Labour and TUC conferences at Brighton to the political underworld of dregs and subversives, the word has gone out: "Stop the National Front - stop it at all costs!"

All this opposition, the sheer venom of it, has done us a favour and done the public a favour. It's all helped to tear the mask off a great deception that the British nation has laboured under for so many years - the deception of 'liberal democracy', the deception that we live in a 'free' society, with the watchwords of 'tolerance', 'human rights', 'free speech' and all the rest of the nonsense that surrounds the old party system.

This is something which British babies take in with their mothers' milk - have done for a long time. Our political system is a free system. Everyone has equal right to his point of view. No one's persecuted because he opposes the *status quo*, because he opposes the regime. For years I've been telling people that all this talk about liberalism and democracy is sheer fraud; that under our system nobody's any the more free, in terms of the real freedoms that matter, than he would be in a dictatorship.

Behind all the farce of elections, all the farce of voting, all the farce of parliament and its debates, you have a system and a power-structure with all the inbuilt intolerance of the mediaeval church. Of course, everybody knows that the mediaeval church was convinced that the earth was flat. And if anyone suggested it was not, he wasn't just a fellow with a minority point of view; he was a **criminal**, a menace to all civilised life. They branded such people as heretics of the sort liable to be burnt at the stake, with all the self-righteousness of the priestly fanatics that they were - priestly fanatics and humbugs into the bargain. They preached gentleness and love, and then they roasted alive anyone who challenged the little world of dogma and superstition they built around themselves.

We're dealing with basically the same sort of people today - with the same mentality. The only difference between these priests of the Middle Ages and the upholders of 20th Century democratic liberalism is the priests didn't have television and mass newspapers!

Well, perhaps not the only difference. Today they don't burn opponents at the stake anymore - they're more subtle -

JOHN TYNDALL

The National Front: A Phoenix Rising

today they're trying to use every political and legislative wangle they can to bind and gag us, so that we have no way to get our point of view across to the British public.

Today we confront their crumbling system with the greatest challenge it's had for generations - and how do they react to us? They say: "Ban them from the streets! Ban them from the meeting halls! Ban them from saying this - ban them from saying that!" (Of course we don't want to see any suppression of free speech. This is a democracy and we believe in democratic rights. We believe in tolerance. We're good democrats and liberals - we don't really believe in banning people). But "ban them from the streets! Ban them from the meeting halls! Ban them here - ban them there!"

There's a foul stench that runs right through all this, and it's the stench of humbug. For years and years they've been playing the old party game, according to a certain set of rules, a set of rules that they all proclaim to the world as the most wonderful rules that man can devise. Then someone else comes along, a newcomer, who's determined to join in the game and beat them at it. They don't like him - he's an intruder. So what do they do? They immediately want to change the rules of the game to keep him out!

RACKET BEHIND THE MASK

You tear the mask off liberal democracy - the party system, with all its sanctimonious talk about 'freedom' and 'rights' - and what do you get? A racket, a racket devised to maintain the old party machines in power for eternity. They once had a phrase "the divine right of kings" - well, no king that ever lived could have assumed to himself greater divinity than the bosses of the old party system in this country and the scribblers of the press who back them up.

Their reaction to the emergence of our movement has been typical. Hardly ever has there been an attempt seriously to analyse our policies, to answer our arguments with counter-arguments, to debate with us the great issues of the day. In fact the Labour Party has given its members specific instructions never to meet us in debate, never to appear on the same platform as us, never to appear on the same TV or radio programme as us. The very people who proclaim us to be the party of intolerance can't even tolerate the sound of our ideas in their own ears. Labour today is not only the party of the closed shop; it's the party of the closed mind!

Almost every politician of the old parties, and almost every journalist, instead of arguing the case, has condemned us out of hand as beyond the pale. I've followed all the comments about us over the 10 years in which we've been building our party up, and there's a certain uniformity that emerges. It's almost as if we were criminals in the dock. We've had one unending chorus of moral denunciation from the men of the old system, with not a fact or an idea to back it up.

I wonder whom these people think they are! I wonder how they deceive themselves that they have the authority to condemn anybody! Those people who would put themselves on a tribune wherefrom they would pass judgement down on others should be people who have to their credit some constructive achievement, some great creative record that lends them the authority to act as the moral conscience of the community. We have behind us the constructive achievement of having built this movement from a tiny, penniless fringe group into the force that it is today - all in a very few years and against all imaginable obstacles and odds. What constructive achievement have our opponents got behind them that entitles them to speak with authority?

All the old parties have achieved over 32 years of peacetime has been failure upon failure, disaster upon disaster, defeat after defeat! They inherited victory in the war and the largest empire in the world to go with it. In 32 years they've reduced us to a bankrupt, humiliated shambles of a nation - a poor, weak island that has to go begging abroad every five minutes for money to keep it afloat, a country that can't afford an army, a navy, an air force or even a motorcycle industry. This is their achievement over an age of unparalleled opportunity. This is their legacy!

FROM THE HEIGHTS TO THE DEPTHS

If you search the history books right back to the earliest beginnings of man, you won't find any record of a nation that went down as quickly as we have, without defeat in war. You won't find any case of a country or a people who sank so quickly from the heights to the depths as poor Britain has sunk in the years following 1945.

These people and their political party system - and the whole rag-bag of institutions that go with them - these people today are like the dissolute heir to a great estate, built up by sturdy ancestors, men of action and will. Now the last of the

line has squandered it all away, and he cuts a pathetic figure in the bankruptcy court, the decadent offspring of a once powerful breed, sitting there as they read out the long list of his debts and his misadventures.

What would we think if such a specimen started to set himself up as prosecutor, judge and jury over other people? What would we think if he set himself up as an authority on how they should run their affairs? We should laugh at him! And yet isn't this just what is being done by the leaders of the old parties against the National Front? From the litter bins of history, to which they've brought this once great nation, they preach and pontificate against our movement. Yet who has the most right to speak? We've created a powerful movement out of nothing in 10 years. They've thrown away an empire and brought this country to the pawnbrokers!

As I've said, during these 10 years they've put us in the dock. But today I say to them: Gentlemen, it's your turn now to be put in the dock, and this is what I'm going to do for the next few minutes — put them in the dock.

At every moment of history that has witnessed collapse and disintegration you can pick out a number of elements, each personified in particular types of individuals who build careers and fortunes on the wreckage. They're all present in this country today — we know them well.

RACE TRAITORS

First we have the race-traitors and the race-perverts. What an innings they've had over the past 30 years! This is the type which seems from early childhood to develop a hatred of his own kind. He seeks fulfilment in life serving every cause but the cause of his own people. The race-traitors in our country have had two great aims over the last 30 years. One has been to stab the White Man in the back wherever he's created wealth and order from out of jungle. The other has been to act as the fifth column in our own country for an invasion of foreign breeds deliberately calculated to destroy the British population, British culture and the British way of life.

The other day I read in one of the top establishment newspapers an article about Rhodesia called "Last rites for the Whites". It was a sneering, gloating, crowing commentary on the twilight of the White Rhodesians. It positively exuded pleasure at the thought of the fine houses and works built by these people soon being taken over by Africans. It revelled in the defeat of this sturdy race of people who for so long maintained a little piece of British civilisation in a continent of chaos and squalor. It was the authentic voice of race-perversion that we know so well.

Here in Britain we can see so many symbols of the work of the race pervert and traitor. Perhaps we can see it best of all in

the strained features of the old folk as they look with bewilderment from out of the windows of their humble little houses in our inner-city areas — as they look at a world around them which has changed out of all recognition to the one that they once knew. They see an alien tide lapping up against their walls, and they fear to venture out after dark, even down to the pub or the letter-box, lest they be punched, kicked and left in the gutter minus their week's pension.

Today we drive through large stretches of our own towns that we can scarcely recognise any longer as British territory. We see dark, sullen faces eyeing us from the curbside — faces of contempt and of hate — faces that say: "Get lost Whitey, you don't belong in this neighbourhood any more! We've taken over and we're here to stay!"

DEDICATED TO DESTRUCTION

What do we have to thank for this? Our own apathy? Our own folly? Yes, but there's more. An army of people have been present in this country for 25 years dedicated consciously, deliberately and with single-minded determination to bringing this about. Their lives have been given up to it. From the very beginning they've known exactly what they're doing. They've set out to destroy the British nation — they've set out to destroy the country that we once knew.

When the history of these times comes to be written, no greater act of treachery, no greater act of villainy than those of these race-traitors will be recorded. Across the ages this country has hanged people for lesser crimes than theirs.

The work of traitors would never be effective without another category of people — the do-gooders. Treason has to have many accomplices among the 'respectable' sections of society if it's to succeed, and the do-gooders are always among the first to come forward.

Let me explain what I mean by 'do-gooder'. It isn't every person who does good works. Millions of people in this country are doing good works every day, helping the aged, helping the sick, looking after defenceless animals, conserving the beauty of our landscape, and so on — but they get no publicity, they get no fame. They don't seek those things.

EXHIBITIONIST

The 'do-gooder' — as distinct from the person who just does good works — is the type who constantly wants to be on parade, who constantly wants to make an exhibition of his humanitarian activities, who constantly wants to strut about as the resident 'holy man' with everyone kissing his feet. Now the way to do that is to identify yourself with the particular types of causes favoured by the influential and the powerful, and today the causes favoured by

the influential and the powerful are almost all Black Man's causes and not White Man's causes — anti-British causes and not British causes.

Today the great *cause celebre* of the do-gooders is the Third World, its poverty and its squalor. By some weird contortion of human logic, the poverty and squalor of the Third World — and when I say 'Third World' I include that part of the Third World that now resides in the cities of Britain — the poverty and the squalor of the Third World is not the fault of the Third World itself; it's our fault! The responsibility for it is not the Third World's responsibility; it's our responsibility! So what is the consequence? A huge part of the educated section of British society works itself up into a frenzy of anguish and a frenzy of guilt — the great 'in-thing' is to do something for the Black Man. Send aid to Africa! Adopt a black baby! Contribute to institutions for unmarried Black Mothers! And so on.

There are two results of all this. One is that hard-earned money that should be going into the building of Britain is frittered away on foreigners who promptly waste it. The other result is that a new ethic is created. No longer do we have the ethic that you get out of this world what you put into it, that you grow rich if you work hard, and that if you sit on your slats you end up poor. That's all changed. Now we have the 'privileged' and the 'under-privileged'. Those countries and those people who're relatively well off haven't earned it; they're just 'privileged'. Those who've wallowed in filth and flies for centuries haven't got themselves to blame; they're just 'underprivileged'.

GOSPEL OF FALSEHOOD

This is the gospel of falsehood and it's also the gospel of decadence. It downgrades the whole principle of manly effort, and it's the gospel of the professional do-gooder who today runs amok in our society, undermining every virile instinct towards pride, self-improvement, ambition and creativeness. I put the do-gooder in the dock today along with the race-traitor. He's one of the great curses of contemporary Britain!

Today in this country there's one institution whose representatives should in fact be the leaders of the community in all those qualities of mind and character that make for a strong, healthy and cohesive people. I mean the Church. Every quality that makes a nation great and respected should be a quality nurtured by the ministers of the Church as part of their duty to give moral leadership to their flock. Today the greater part of the representatives of the Church in Britain seem to have abandoned this duty and instead joined the ranks of the race-traitors and the professional do-gooders, and identified themselves with every trend in society that makes for national

Contd. overleaf

disintegration. Today I put in the dock leaders of the Church such as Bishop Stockwood, such as Bishop Montefiore, such as Bishop Huddleston, such as Bishop Lamont. These men are not moral leaders — they stand today as figures that deserve the contempt and the derision of the British people. Well might we say of them as Cromwell said to the Rump Parliament: "You have been here too long for all the good that you have done — in the name of God, go!"

Into the dock with these species must go another — the professional pedlars of lies, the political liars and, perhaps even more than them, the liars of the media. Now lies and liars have always been with us, even in the highest peaks of civilisation, but when we have times of collapse the lie takes on a special status — **the lie becomes history**. Instead of lies being incidental in a life proceeding broadly along paths of truth, the whole of life becomes based on lies. Right and wrong are based on lies. Fact and fiction are based on lies. Political and religious orthodoxy are sustained by lies.

It's my conviction that the British nation stands where it does today because for at least two generations the British people have been fed on a continual diet of lies: lies about the last war, lies about race equality, lies about the nature and intentions of communism, lies governing the whole of our perspectives regarding national and world affairs. Today we live as the slaves of a gigantic lie-industry, and right now we may count it an honour that the first target of that lie industry is the National Front!

CAREERISTS AND COWARDS

The last group that I propose to put in the dock are the careerists and the cowards — and perhaps they're the most contemptible of all. They comprise the greatest number among those who've contributed to the decline of our country. They're people who don't really necessarily like all that much what has been going on. At moments of revelation, their consciences tell them something should be done. But always the pressure to conform, not to rock the boat, to retain the correct social image — and not to antagonise those who provide their daily bread. Always these pressures prove too much.

I've met them — these people. Bright young men climbing the ladder of the Tory Party, secretly agreeing with me about the state of the country and promising that one day they'll do something — but never actually doing it.

A few exposures over the past year or so have shown the tip of the iceberg of corruption that's tied up with politics today. What lies below we may never know. What we do know is that, not necessarily by the methods of corruption, but just by pure opportunism, thousands of nests have been feathered by those willing to stand aside and

watch treason and do nothing about it.

This rotteness pervades not only parliament but the town halls of this country. Every day reveals a story of civic junketing at the ratepayers' expense, or city directorships — consultant's fees for doing we know not what — landed in politicians' laps for being 'good boys'; titles handed out like confetti to big businessmen willing to grease the wheels of politicians on the make; government departments created for no good reason at all except just to give a job to someone who's done someone else a favour; lush sinecures for party men who've outlived their usefulness and been put out to grass. What a loathsome spectre it all presents! A huge vested interest — built on betrayal, built on failure, built on national eclipse; all the little me-toos, running in the wake of the big crooks of party politics. Let all of them stand in the dock today and let us point the finger at them, the finger of indictment, and let us say:—

"Your hour, gentlemen, is fast approaching its end. Your moment of truth is going to come and come soon. You may pass judgement on us today — we and the whole British people are going to pass judgement on you tomorrow!"

OUT OF THE ASHES

Out of many ashes has come a phoenix — and it is out of the ashes of the post-war period for which these men have been responsible, it is out of those ashes that the phoenix of the National Front has risen.

In every one of us here today this great movement has been born in some deeply moving personal experience. If I may speak of my own experience for a moment, let me recall the time when, as a young serviceman, just enlisted, I stood on a cold barrack square back in the 1950s. It was a passing out parade and a fine band was playing martial airs. On the mast at the side flew the national flag and to me the whole atmosphere came alive with history and tradition.

It seemed as if before me were marching across the sky the great regiments that had carried the glory of British arms across the battlefields of the world, across the continents of the world. There was a feeling of heroism and of empire, and I felt conscious at that moment of being a part — perhaps just a very small part — of a tradition that had to be carried on, of a British destiny, and of the feeling that all the lives of my countrymen, including my own, were only worth something if we contributed, in large or small measure, to this destiny. In that moment and from that moment on, if not before, I was conscious of being a nationalist.

My time as a soldier was short and uneventful — compared with many I know and see in this hall it was nothing. I saw no warfare, I attained no great rank, I won no medals. I only know that at some time

during that period an idea was born that the civil standards attained by our country should measure up to the great traditions of patriotism, courage, service and honour that had marked the history of its armed forces. That servicemen — those who'd led a quiet life like me just as much as others who'd become war veterans and war heroes — should return to a civilian society not dedicated to mean and petty ideals but to the furtherance of nation, race and destiny; that we did not exist in society just as human units to be fed, clothed and amused, but as links in a chain of history — of blood, pride and achievement.

It didn't take long when returning to civilian life to see that the Britain that I believed in was under attack from all quarters. Already we were behaving like a defeated nation — and no lead was coming from anywhere, no party beckoned to those of my generation with the creative urge to build a Greater Britain. I started to see the squalid rat-race of party politics for what it was. I started to come face-to-face with the unheroic ideals and goals that moved our modern political leaders. What formed in my mind was not yet called the National Front in name — but it amounted to the National Front in concept.

I was only one. Others I know went through the same experience. Others acted before me, and we today will always be in their debt for the fact that they built the early foundations on which we march forward now. This National Front is the creation of the sum total of the personal experience of its founders and builders — experiences, first of destiny, then of disillusionment, anger, enquiry, vision, then action.

SIMPLE VALUES

We are a political party — we can be nothing else — but we are a political party totally unlike any other that exists in Britain today. Our roots don't lie in arguments over abstractions of ideology or philosophy. Our roots lie in the simple values of nation, race, country — the sense that we inherit a great tradition in these things and the creative urge to build upon that tradition. Our roots lie in the urge to action, in the will to get things done, in the determination to achieve what others only talk about achieving.

We are a movement born out of crisis and collapse — and that is no bad thing. Because only in crisis and collapse does the great stimulus and urge come to take the bold measures needed for recovery. Supposing that we had been born out of a time of security and comfort and stability — the whole spirit of our party would be that of cosy talking shop. We're born out of crisis and collapse and in us is the spirit that moves mountains and dictates history.

We're also a movement nurtured out of struggle. And that struggle has given us the greatest gift we have — the elimination

of all weakness and softness from our ranks — the cutting edge of a great revolutionary force.

Finally, we're a movement inspired by a vision — a vision greater and grander than any of the old parties can grasp or understand — the vision of a united British race, joining arms over the oceans that separate us, the oceans conquered by the small ships of our forefathers, who sailed into the unknown, *daring all* to plant the flag of Britain in the furthest corners of the earth — a race striding the world like the colossus it once was — and stamping its power and its genius on the future pages of history.

Today we hurl this challenge into the faces of our opponents, of all the old parties. We say to them: "You condemn us now — you fling all the hate and the bile at us that your miserable hearts can spew forth. You condemn us as much as you like. But the final condemnation of posterity is going to be the condemnation of your own record — your own gadarine road to national disaster. You've raped and ruined one of the greatest peoples ever to walk the surface of this earth. You've betrayed two generations of servicemen who made the supreme sacrifice. Your whole lives and your whole careers are one great chapter of failure. You're the men who've muddled about from one crisis to the next. You're the men who haven't shown the will to do anything for 30 years. You're the men who've fiddled while Britain burned!"

We can do without your approval. We can do without your love — because today we're capturing an entirely new generation. This new generation takes up the torch handed down by that race of heroes that opened up the great frontiers of empire, and it marches with us in a mighty column that grows with every day and every hour.

No power on earth is now going to stop this movement that we've created. No threats, no words, no laws are going to stop us. Let them throw against us all the fury and all the hate of the old world — we'll carry on marching, like a great army, towards the Britain of our dreams!

NF CLOTH BADGES

Red, white & blue NF motif with slogan 'National Front' or 'For Race and Nation' 35p each with s.a.e. to:—

Anthony Williams
c/o Hill House
Huntingfield
Halesworth, Suffolk.

Patriotic Canadians and Britons wishing to help in the formation of the National Front of Canada, write to:—

Hal Thompson
214b Talfourd St.,
Sarnia, Ontario,
Canada N7T 1P1

IAN PRIOR

RELIGIOUS EDUCATION AND POLITICAL SUBVERSION

THE FLOW of Marxist thought into our schools under the guise of so-called educationally enlightened syllabuses can perhaps be best seen in the field of religious education. There is considerable confusion and controversy in educational circles as to what this subject should be about, and it is either being quietly phased out of school timetables, despite the requirements of the 1944 Education Act, or else it is being transformed into something which is quite unrecognisable as having anything to do with religion.

This of course is a reflection of what is happening in society at large, where the Church is generally abandoning the traditional gospel, and all kinds of trendy left-wing ideas are being concealed under the chasuble, and are in turn presented as the Christian faith. This tremendous shrinkage of the spiritual from our Church and consequently from our communities, is of course a prerequisite before the atheistic, materialistic philosophy of Karl Marx can be fed into the minds of our people.

Many educational theorists who are concerned with religious education maintain that because the vast majority of the population seem to have abandoned the traditional faith of our country, and because — and this is perhaps the most significant reason — we now find ourselves in a multi-racial, multi-cultural society, it is no longer justifiable to teach R.E. courses that are substantially Christian and Biblically orientated. According to them this must be replaced by a course designed to give children a general knowledge of all the world's religions, and to make them aware of social problems and the nature of society. This is either done by a comparative religions course, or, as is happening in many schools, subjects are being integrated, particularly geography, history and R.E., and a vague, general studies course is produced that seems to effectively remove any religious content.

"Social Studies", another recent member of many schools' curriculums, is also assuming the role R.E. had ten years ago. The old confessional approach to the subject is clearly difficult to justify on educational grounds, but to reduce Christianity to just one of several world religions is to refuse to acknowledge that British culture has been dependent upon it for inspiration for at least 1500 years. One wonders how children can grow up with an understanding of our nation's literature and history without a sound knowledge of the Christian faith.

The presence of large numbers of alien people in our country is clearly fragmenting our national solidarity, threatening our racial homogeneity, and of course making those

who are most affected by the immigrant presence, particularly children, very remote from British traditions and culture. Religious education should help our children to identify with their own race by giving them a thorough grounding in our traditional faith, and consequently giving them an understanding of our magnificent cultural heritage. The abandoning of a traditional approach to R.E. is contributing even further to our people's alienation from their past. Quite clearly this has been designed by those who seek to destroy the British way of life, because people who grow up with a strong sense of identity with their own race and nation, are going to present a greater threat to the kind of cosmopolitan, Marxist society that is gradually being forced upon us. The fallacious egalitarian notions of Marx are once again rearing their ugly heads, and the result is we are now finding R.E. courses in schools that are reducing all the world's religions to one level, and which are assuming that they are all of equal worth to our people.

Scholars like Prof. Ninian Smart of Lancaster University, who has pioneered the modern methods of religious study in this country, have given religion a much broader definition than it has formerly had, and have removed the need for the supernatural dimension in the definition (this is seen as only one aspect of religion). Consequently, such things as Communism can fall into the religious category, and local education authorities such as Birmingham, for example, have even recommended that this be taught in their syllabuses. This is strangely convenient for those committed to a communist revolution in Britain.

I have found that race is an extremely popular theme for integrated studies courses, particularly the slave trade where the emphasis is very much on White brutality and exploitation of Blacks (no mention is made of the fact that slavery existed in Africa long before the White man ever reached its shores, and the fact that it was Blacks who sold their fellow Blacks to White traders). Parents must be vigilant and aware of the things that are being taught to their children, and if necessary be prepared to protest. It is vital for the future of our country that our children be given a sense of pride in their nation's achievements and history. It is the aim of our enemies to make them feel ashamed of Britain's glorious past, and to remove all trace of racial consciousness. It is those who control the media and education who have control over the minds of the people, and it is frightening to consider in whose hands these are at the present.

HAL THOMPSON

TOWARDS A NATIONAL FRONT OF CANADA

Hal Thompson looks at the history of the Canadian Conservative Party, and looks forward to the birth of a new party of national resurgence in the Dominion.

THE CONSERVATIVE Party of Canada, which has called itself "Progressive Conservative" for a number of years, has a long and tortuous history. It has deep roots in early Canadian history.

What the American Revolution was to Americans, the Rebellion of 1837-38 was to Canadians. In those days Canadians stood by the people in power, the colonial aristocracy. Opposed to them was what was loosely called the Reform Party, later to be called the Liberals. As the political struggle crystallised the Conservative party followed along lines of the British Conservative Party. They were then ultra conservative. They stood unequivocally for the British connection.

What has happened since then is largely a story of the erosion of the British connection. Diefenbaker, the last Conservative Prime Minister, has openly declared that the Liberals intend to destroy the last vestige of the British connection. There seems to be much to what he says. Today Canada goes through the absurdity of appointing her own governor-general. What his name is I do not recall, and it does not matter much that I don't because few Canadians do know his name or care. This is how low the British connection has sunk.

The slide gathered speed with the Statutes of Westminster in 1927. Although the government of the day was one of the few times since Confederation in 1867 that the PC's held office, there is not much evidence that their party offered any opposition whatsoever. Criticism was at a minimum. Like so many crises faced by Canadians when an issue seems to be controversial, the politicians simply ignore the matter and let history take her course. However in 1937 a book was published by Major-General J. F. C. Fuller bitterly assailing the Statutes which, the reader should be reminded, applied to the whole Empire and provided the "justification" for the disastrous course following upon World War II. He pointed out that the Conference of Westminster was a total fraud and its decrees tantamount to dismemberment of the whole Empire. It all hinged on one point. As Fuller pointed out, what the

Empire was, was not so much as given the grace of a definition. Proceeding from such a standpoint none of its decrees had any meaning whatsoever. Like a stricken liner the bow of the Progressive Conservatives was beginning to sink beneath the waves.

It is difficult to show people that major events anywhere have their repercussions everywhere. Such an event was the Russian Revolution which sent seismic shudders even through far away Canada. This gigantic event sent waves of pseudodemocracy all over the world. The Bolsheviks had managed to put "imperialism" in a bad light and everywhere the politicians began frantically to plaster over the supposed cracks. This state of mind was what inspired the notorious Westminster Conference to condemn their own imperial structure.

FOLLOWING THE WRONG COURSE

I have written elsewhere that Germany's apparently curious offer to guarantee the British Empire was largely misunderstood. Hitler was quite conscious of the enormous impact the Russian Revolution had made on all peoples, even the most improbable. In going along with the Statutes it will be seen at once that Canada officially, with the blessings of both parties, was following a completely reverse course. A new situation had arisen where a blow against the Empire was simultaneously a blow against Germany and vice versa. Therefore Germany and the Empire were mutually dependent on each other. Yet Churchill, with his compulsion to follow the wrong course, to always be at sea about everything, was already warning of the military might of Germany as early as 1931, two years before Hitler became Chancellor! He warned of the danger of Germany's expansion into Southeastern Europe! As every reader knows, this is now part of the vast Stalinist domains.

One asks how the most important posts in the State are often held by men who have no real understanding of the age in which they are living. All these events were completely over the heads of what passed for leaders in the Progressive Conservative party. All they saw in the appalling disaster of 1945 was a new and dangerous swing to the left. Since that time they have struggled more and more to tailor their policies so as to keep up with the steady drift leftwards.

In 1931 social democracy, neo-Marxism, made its appearance with the

organisation of the C.C.F. (Canadian Commonwealth Federation, now N.D.P.), a party definitely pinko in colouration. As might be expected the Progressive Conservatives, instead of stubbornly clinging to the British connection, recklessly ditched that tradition and now even went so far as to try to imitate the C.C.F. To the few who cared to listen the Progressive Conservatives, by strenuous acrobatics, endeavoured with consistent failure to convince Canadians that they, not the Liberals and C.C.F., were the true radical, even revolutionary party. In a major news story, the *Globe and Mail*, Canada's leading newspaper, in reviewing a convention of the young tories, headed it with the caption: "Canada's Young Pinko Tories". This shows what could be expected from the future leadership of the party.

The realisation of all these things is what induced the writer to turn across the ocean to the National Front as the only remaining place of salvation and sanctuary.

ANOTHER SINKING SHIP

Some individuals have tried to induce me to turn to the Liberals as a way of salvation but, alas, this would only be swimming toward another sinking ship. Others of course have urged me to turn to the Social Democrats, which is simply a junior course in Stalinism.

Canada's urgent need now is the formation of an entirely new party, a party that has completely shaken off the political dead-weight of at least half a century. My recent visit to see at first hand the work of the National Front in Britain was so that I might contribute to the birth of such a party, for only a National Front of Canada can reforge those vital ties of blood between Britain and our Dominion.

National Front News

Editor: Martin Webster

A broadsheet published monthly in support of the National Front. Subscriptions only for 5 copies or more. 12 issues of 5 copies, £4.44.

Reduced rates for bulk supplies given on request. Send 12p for sample copy now.

SPEARHEAD FUND

Money over and above normal income from sales is needed to build up SPEARHEAD.

Your help would be greatly appreciated. Please make out your postal orders or cheques to Spearhead, and send them to: 91 Connaught Road, Teddington, Middx.

ANNIVERSARY ISSUE REPRINT

Spearhead

SPECIAL ANNIVERSARY ISSUE 15p



Our special issue printed last March marking the 10th anniversary of the foundation of the National Front turned out to be tremendously popular, with its attractively illustrated sections dealing with the history and development of the NF, its principles, its organisational structure and its leading personalities and activists. We printed 3,000 above the normal number of copies and soon sold out. Since then there have been many demands for a reprint.

We will order such a reprint if we can have in advance definite bulk orders, with payment, to the total of at least 2,000. The price will be the present one of 20p less whatever discounts are applicable for bulk — and not the old price of 15p at which the original issue was sold. If any bulk purchasers wish to query postal charges before sending their money in, will they please ring us at our usual office number (01-977 2452).

The anniversary issue is a tremendous recruiter to the NF because it tells the reader so much about our party from the inside. People like to know what sort of a party it is they are joining and not just what its policies are. Remember also that the issue is not dated. Its sales potential does not, like normal monthly issues, decrease when the month of publication has passed. You can sell it at any time!

Get your orders in now!

FOR SALE

Old books, pamphlets, papers etc. For lists (UK only) send S.A.E. to B. Thule, London WC1V 6XX.

OBITUARY

ENID MORRILL

We deeply regret to announce the death of Mrs. Enid Morrill of Chipperfield, Hertfordshire, who died on 28th September after a long illness. Enid was a highly valued member of the party and of the West Herts Branch, and her work and example was a source of strength to all who knew her. A patriot of the highest order, Enid was a great friend to the National Front during the troubles of 1975, when she worked actively to defeat the Populist attempt to take over the movement.

Enid Morrill was educated at Girton College and her work took her to Germany after the Second World War, where she worked with the Commission in the reconstruction of Post-War Germany. As a result of her experience in Europe she was alerted to the menace of internationalism and thereafter campaigned constantly for the National Front and sought the re-establishment of those Christian values in our society which were such a source of strength in her own life.


We are grateful and privileged to have known Enid Morrill who worked so loyally in the service of our party. Our condolences and sympathy go to her family.

One last push, and we're there!

One month to raise £3,000. That's all we need for our new Headquarters and Clubhouse. So far we have raised a magnificent £27,000, so we just need that one last push to reach our target.

It is vitally important that you give generously now. Contributions have tailed off a little because many of you think you need not bother because we are nearly there. But we must raise another £3,000 — and quickly.

Please be generous in support of this great step forward for the National Front and the cause of British nationalism. Have YOU contributed yet? Or if you have, can you manage more? £5.00 loans, repaid in five years, are still urgently needed. Straightforward donations will be an extra bonus. Please give, and do it TODAY.



BOOKS WANTED

Good prices will be paid for any of the following:

Oswald Spengler	<i>The Decline of the West</i> (George, Allen & Unwin, 1971, 1 volume)
Prof. Carlton Coon	<i>The Origin of the Races</i>
Prof. Baker	<i>Race</i>
Rene Wormser	<i>Foundations: Their Power and Influence</i>
Savitri Devi	<i>Pilgrimage</i>
Savitri Devi	<i>Gold in the Furnace</i>
Col. M. House	<i>Philip Dru: Administrator</i>

Replies to: The Editor, Spearhead, 91 Connaught Road, Teddington, Middlesex.

New Nationalist Books

The following are new, important titles available from Nationalist Books. Postage is shown in brackets. Please make cheques, P.O.'s etc. payable to "Nationalist Books".

THE FRAUDULENT GOSPEL Bernard Smith £1.00 (12p)

An up-to-date exposure of the pro-communist and anti-white bias of the World Council of Churches. 1977. 99pp.

JUSTICE BE DAMNED Roy Bramwell 50p (9½p)

A study of the corruption of justice in modern Britain under the pressure of the Left. 1977. 51pp.

MONEY MADE MYSTERIOUS £1.80 (9½p)

A series of articles reprinted from *American Mercury* explaining simply and clearly the workings of the financial racket. 1958 (rep. 1977). 99pp.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT IN ENGLAND AND WALES W. E. Jackson 35p (12p)

A useful basic textbook, dated in some details, but a helpful guide to the basic principles. 1966 (rep. 1971). 222pp.

THE SPECIOUS ORIGINS OF LIBERALISM Anthony Ludovici £1.25 (22p)

A clinical analysis of liberal values and viewpoints in which their futility is well exposed. 1967. 192pp.

DISCOVERING THE ENEMY OF BRITISH NATIONALISM

IT WAS very obliging of the reactionary 'right wing' Aims for Freedom and Enterprise organisation to send *Spearhead* a copy of their pamphlet *The Age of the Gulag*, in their press mail-out intended to coincide with the anniversary of the Russian Revolution. It was surprising that this group should regard those supporting the National Front as allies in the fight against Communism, since these Tory buffoons had only recently published a childish tract called *The National Front is a Socialist Front* which purported to show that we were the enemies of both freedom and enterprise.

Could this sudden fraternal gesture, I thought, have anything to do with an article published in the National Association for Freedom's newspaper *Free Nation*, which I thumbed through later that day?

The article in question was a full-page heap of abuse directed at the Communist-Zionist "anti-fascist" magazine *Searchlight* which would have brought a wry smile to the lips of any Nationalist. I must admit, I chortled. For here at last was the first sign of an awakening of the respectable bourgeois 'Right' to the true nature of the enemy.

Nobody could have tried harder than the NAFF/Aims for Freedom and Enterprise lobby on the liberal, free market 'Right' of British politics to avert their gaze from the real enemy, lest they be associated with racism, fascism and, worst of all, the National Front.

They have now, however, learned one of the first laws of politics: namely, that if you identify yourself in any way with even a vaguely patriotic political position, no matter how reactionary, non-racialist and 'respectable' that position may be, you will eventually fall foul of the Zionist movement to one degree or another.

BASIC LESSON

NAFF learned this basic lesson because in spite of their valiant efforts to avoid offending the Zionist movement, they found themselves continually and viciously attacked by the Zionist organ, *Searchlight*. Needless to say, the fury with which Maurice Ludmer and his crew of cosmopolitan vulgarians on *Searchlight* attacked NAFF was out of all proportion to its real menace, which is non-existent, as indeed were the links which *Searchlight* purported to find between it and the real 'fascist' beasties of the National Front.

One can imagine the perplexed, hurt expressions of all those free market Tories as they read the first copy of *Searchlight* over

each others' shoulders. "Why us?" they probably exclaimed. "We are not racialists! We always attack the National Front!"

They probably thought it was some sort of abberation, that NAFF had been mistakenly identified as 'fascist' and that it would all die down. Alas, the vitriol from *Searchlight* continued to pour forth. An exposure of *Searchlight* became necessary!

This exposure, in the Oct/Nov. issue of *Free Nation*, begins with a last ditch attempt to ingratiate itself by proclaiming that *Searchlight* has "performed the admirable service of exposing the activities of the National Front and other national socialist fascist activities."

Unfortunately, says NAFF, *Searchlight* "does not confine itself to such an admirable task. It is engaging in a vicious campaign of distortion, lies and smears about NAFF." This campaign involves the use of documents, "largely stolen letters it should be noted," in order to concoct a "stream of smear, lies and distortions." Continues NAFF: "The whole thing is simply a smear job, an attempt to link quite unconnected individuals and smear them with unsubstantial and ludicrous accusations of anti-democratic plotting."

The poor saps of NAFF probably do not realise that *Searchlight*'s discovery of alleged links between the National Front and firebreathing German Nazis or the Ku Klux Klan falls into precisely the same category. Never mind.

FRONT ORGANISATION'

The article's conclusion is most interesting. "*Searchlight*'s supposed 'anti-fascism' is really a useful cover for something else," says *Free Nation* darkly. "It is a front organisation for those with a distinct political purpose. Not surprisingly, what we discover when we examine the murky figures behind *Searchlight* is a rag-bag of (wait for it!) extreme socialist Labour MPs like Reg Freeson and Joan Lestor, and outright communists and fellow-travellers." The article correctly identifies Ludmer and our old friend Gerry Gable as former Communists.

Observant readers will have noted that the article stops short of the ultimate revelation, for while Messrs. Freeson, Ludmer and Gable are all extreme socialists and communists, they all happen to be Zionists as well, a fact which I am sure did not escape the notice of even the blinkered Tories in the National Association for Freedom. Rather than admit their discovery, however, NAFF pretends to see the "distinct political purpose" of this "front organisation"

as being to attack people who are opposed to trade union power — as if the likes of Ludmer and Gable give a brass farthing about the defence of trade unions!

Whether NAFF will learn anything further from their accidental stumbling upon the enemies of British nationalism, and thereby deepen its knowledge, is unlikely. They will doubtless try even harder to avoid offence and redouble their efforts to ingratiate themselves with the Zionist community.

What they have learned, however, is that the Zionists will not tolerate the slightest manifestation of what appears to be organised British patriotism. So far as we in the National Front are concerned, of course, NAFF represents nothing of the sort. It is simply a collection of bigots on the fringe of the Tory party dedicated to the principle of individual greed within the sacred free market system. But what it appears to be, and what it might become, is enough to attract the vicious hostility of the Zionists, even though it has striven mightily to eschew any 'racialism' and is part of the thoroughly respectable Establishment.

As a result, they have also learned that you do not make enemies of the Zionists. They make enemies of you. A state of war exists between the Zionist movement and British Nationalists solely because the Zionists have chosen to declare it.

PURPOSEFULLY ORGANISED

As the *Australian Jewish News* put it recently when discussing the British political scene after the war:—

"There was even a group of Jewish "heavies" known as the 43 Group who frequently took the law into their own hands."

"Now the fight is more political, waging a strong public relations campaign against the National Front, linking up with Asian Immigrant groups — as Martin Savitt has done — to wage a war of words, not fists ... Anglo-Jewry bears a strong responsibility to make sure that racism is never allowed to become a national doctrine."

Not among the British people, anyway.

Thus we see the Zionist movement purposefully organised to stamp out British nationalism and racism, and that includes any trace of it which they believe, quite mistakenly, might be buried somewhere in the 'respectable' Tory Right. In a liberal society, where the cohesion of the Zionists has given them predominance, any such manifestation will be opposed.

Since, therefore, a state of war exists, we have two simple choices. Either grovelling appeasement of the enemy and the cowardly rejection of any taint of 'racialism', which is the way of the Tory 'Right'; or proudly proclaimed racism and an all-out counter-attack against the enemies of the British patriotic movement. That is the way of the National Front.

PHILIP GANNAWAY

BRITAIN'S SLIDE TO ANARCHY

BREAKING records is not something Britain has become exactly renowned for in recent years. However, 1977 will go out as the year when records were smashed quite dramatically in one area of British life. But before you reach out for your share of the bonanza, I warn you this particular jackpot is shared strictly between Britain's criminal fraternity. For 1977 has proved a remarkably successful year for lawbreakers. Nearly 3 million crimes were reported this year, which represents an average increase throughout the country of 25 per cent up on last year's figures.

High on the list are crimes of theft, with a breakdown figure showing an alarming increase of thefts committed by juveniles. But the most disturbing feature of Britain's crime wave is the rising number of murders, brutal sex attacks, vicious muggings, and horrifying assaults committed against elderly citizens and the police this year. Some 15,000 police officers were the victims of criminal assault, many of which were serious cases of wounding or permanent disablement.

It is this growing violence coupled with low pay, which has led to a situation in which police recruitment has reached an all-time low, and which has forced many experienced officers to quit the force in recent months. The Metropolitan force alone is 4,500 under strength, and this is against manning levels set 40 years ago! It's hardly surprising therefore, that with so few police around to patrol the streets of London, foreign tourists are warned before leaving their country to be on their guard against the vicious thugs who plague the capital's streets at night. However, the crime scene is much the same throughout the country. Pick up any provincial paper in Britain today and the same stories emerge of armed robberies, vicious muggings, football hooliganism, falling police recruitment, and escalating local crime figures. Small wonder that a general feeling prevails amongst the nation's police force, that they are very near to losing control of the situation.

But while morale in Britain's police force is low, the gloom is ever more rife within the prison service. With a prison population of 42,000 prisoners crammed into prisons built to accommodate half that number, the work of prison officers has become almost impossible. Supervision in most prisons is totally inadequate to maintain proper discipline. Hence, like the police outside, prison officers often fall victim to vicious attacks by gaol inmates. This loose supervision in overcrowded prisons also often leads to violent demonstrations like the mayhem created at Hull last year, when

prisoners ran riot causing many thousands of pounds' worth of damage. Many other similar demonstrations are staged each year, which because of the Home Office's 'tight lip' policy, the public never gets to hear about. For example, in 1973 it was disclosed by the chairman of the Prison Officers Association at their annual conference, that 80 demonstrations were staged in that year by Category A prisoners in closed prisons — involving about one third of the 30,000 prisoners in gaols of that sort. While the Home Office is reluctant to admit that widespread unrest exists inside Britain's gaols, prison officers often complain that prison life today has become even more of a haven for troublemakers.

What have the lawmakers done to stem the crime wave? Nothing! While the mood of the masses is clearly in favour of tougher punishments, successive Tory and Labour Home Secretaries have helped make life even more comfy for criminal intractables. The Conservative Party are currently making a lot of noise about law and order and plan to "Let the people speak" if elected at the next general election. Yet what is their record?

Under their last Home Secretary, Robert Carr, the Tory response to public opinion was first to abolish bread and water as a prison punishment. Then, under pressure from the Howard League for Penal Reform, they allowed prisoners at Long Lartin prison to hold the keys of their cells, for as a Home Office spokesman put it at the time: "Criminals need more privacy, and by giving them the key to their cell they can lock themselves in and withdraw for a time if they wish . . . it gives them somewhere they can call their own, and a place to keep their provisions in." Other soft options introduced by the last Tory government included the Community Service Scheme which came in with the 1972 Criminal Justice Act.

This scheme offers muggers, thieves, sex and drug offenders, a choice between going to prison or Borstal, and doing menial community work like tidying gardens or decorating. However, a community service order can only be made with the offender's consent, which carries a maximum of 240 hours' work to be completed within a year. Even this form of trendy 'punishment' has failed, with many offenders breaching their order, and 44 per cent of the remainder being reconvicted within a year of completing their 'sentence'.

On major issues under constant public demand like the reintroduction of capital punishment for murderers and terrorists, the Tories really turn their back. Despite all the

froth generated at this year's annual conference over law and order, away from the glare of TV cameras, Deputy Leader William Whitelaw firmly rejected the call for a referendum on hanging. He told a conference fringe meeting: "This is an issue best left to the judgement of MP's." Readers will recall that Whitelaw is the conceited half-wit who, when Secretary for Northern Ireland, arranged a meeting with I.R.A. terrorist leaders on the pretext that to establish a rapport with these fiends, would lead to an end of their campaign.

And this is the party which claims to be closely welded to public opinion. Ugh!

The present government hampers law enforcement even more so with its recent iniquitous legislation strengthening the position of seasoned complainers about police conduct. Not only have the police the daunting task of combatting growing crime with diminishing manpower, they now face the prospect of being regularly hauled in front of public courts of enquiry to answer charges made against them by inveterate criminal agitators.

So while Left-wing do-gooders and arm chair penologists work out their latest theories from the safety of their suburban bungalows, the spectre of a "Clockwork Orange" society in Britain draws nearer to reality in inner city areas week by week. One recent incident, typical of how horribly dispassionate society can become when order is replaced by chaos, took place in Chadwell Heath Lane, Essex, recently when passers-by ignored a young policewoman being savagely kicked and slashed by a gang of four. People walked straight past as she lay on the pavement pleading for help. No one even bothered to dial 999 from a nearby callbox. While public cowardliness can never be condoned, unwillingness of this sort has to be expected in an upside-down society like ours, where more sympathy is often generated by courts towards the wrongdoer than the victim. Can we really expect the public to respond any differently when for decades now, public attitudes have been warped by liberalism? Standards are bound to decline in a climate which lacks spiritual guidance and above all political leadership.

The purveyors of anarchy and sedition have watched Britain's decline with glee. Millions of decent ordinary citizens have been alarmed — yet remained passive. Others saw the decline for what it is: a carefully conceived plan to undermine the British people's self confidence. These patriots stood against the rot and formed the National Front.

Since then, thousands more have united under the National Front's banner in the fight to restore sanity, and end the slide towards anarchy. It is a mission which offers hope for Britain's future. A movement to inspire once again confidence in the political leadership, in the judges and magistrates, in the penal system — and its punishment. And above all else, to restore the British people's confidence in themselves.

WHITE RHODESIANS are constantly told that they must "face realities" and cease their stubborn resistance to change. Yet it is precisely because he is facing realities that the White Rhodesian is hesitant to commit himself to the tender mercies of the African.

Some of the realities which he has to face are outlined in what follows.

Perhaps the first point which is daily brought home to the White Rhodesian is the difference in average intelligence between the races. White Rhodesians are in general of a high level of intelligence. The number of young Rhodesians with genius level I.Q. ratings is extremely high by world standards. (See "White Rhodesians - An Intellectual Elite" by Nathaniel Weyl, published in the *Mankind Quarterly*). The average African I.Q. is about 70 to 75, contrasted with a white median level of well over 100.

This state of affairs in itself must make working together difficult. This difficulty is compounded by the difference in time scales between the two races. The Rhodesian White, like his counterparts in the West, generally thinks, plans and organises with a time scale of about 25 years in mind. To the African this sort of long-term planning and thinking is meaningless. He is essentially a "now-man" and thinks and plans - so far as he is capable of it - in terms of about three months, roughly the growing time of a maize crop. Given the wide differences in intelligence and tempo-cognition between the races, it is difficult to see that any other than a master-servant relationship is possible.

The West, of course, produces some people with levels of intelligence and foresight little better than that of the tribal African; the numbers must inevitably increase so long as a welfare state operates. This is, of course, disastrous in the long term for the nation that allows such folly to be practised, but at least the results will take some generations to appear, and for the present the lower grades of the population - the white moron, in Gayre's phrase - do not yet constitute the norm and do not dominate society. They are the norm in tribal society and do dominate in African affairs. The White Rhodesian is being asked to trust his future to people who are little better than morons. Surely some hesitation is understandable?

INDIVIDUALISTS

A glance at the differing social systems of Blacks and Whites in Rhodesia offers little hope for the future. White Rhodesians are individualists and capitalists. In general they

PRIVATE TUTORING
Private lessons given to pupils whose parents do not want multi-racial education for their children. Interested in contacting other private tutors. A. Elder, Flat 1, Gannet House, 6 Hartington Place, Eastbourne, Sussex.

NOEL A. HUNT

A WHITE RHODESIAN'S VIEWPOINT



BODIES OF BLACK GUERRILLAS KILLED BY RHODESIAN ARMY

No surrender to people still in early stage of barbarism

feel that a man should be able to get ahead by his own efforts, and keep the result of his labours for himself. There is no such thing as an individual in the tribal system. The African exists and functions as a member of a group. The White Rhodesian structure is based on the individual, the Black Rhodesian system on the group. It is not easy, in fact it is probably impossible, to devise a system in which these two diametrically opposite points of view can be reconciled.

The two societies also hold widely different views about land tenure and occupation. The White Rhodesian believes in freehold tenure by an individual, with the right to leave his property to his heirs. Individual ownership of land is unknown to the tribal system. The most any man can hope for is a life usufruct of the piece of land allocated him by his chief. There is nothing wrong with such a system, of course, but it is difficult to reconcile it with the ideas of land ownership held by Whites. Under Black rule it is reasonable to assume that Blacks' ideas - if the word be not too strong - as to land tenure will prevail. There

will thus be no individually owned White land. The average White Rhodesian views this prospect with aversion.

As might be expected, the religious ideas of the two races differ widely. To the African the Supreme Being is of no practical importance. He worships his family spirit, consisting of the shades of all his ancestors, whose duty it is to look after his own family and that of nobody else. If properly placated and kept in a good temper by gifts the family spirit will see that all goes well for the family. If neglected or annoyed the family spirit will visit disasters on the offending family. The tribal spirit is also of great importance, because it owns the land which the tribe occupies - the chief is merely the earthly agent of tribal spirit and land-owner - and can send or withhold rain, and visit the tribe with sickness or stock disease if annoyed or neglected.

In addition there is the ever-present and universal dread of witchcraft. There are no African exceptions to this dread, no matter how sophisticated or superficially civilised the man may appear to be.

Many Africans have become nominally Christian, so far as they are able to understand the religion. This does not mean that they abandon their own beliefs; they simply accept Christ as the family spirit of the White man and that for some incomprehensible reason the mad White man allows them to share in the power of this obviously strong spirit. They can thus be Christians without sacrificing their tribal religious beliefs.

There is little hope that religious beliefs will bring the races together. The two systems are in fact mutually incompatible.

The White Rhodesian political system is based on a qualified franchise and a parliamentary system with regular elections. To qualify as a voter a man must own property of a certain value or earn a stated amount of money a year. The African has no parliamentary system nor does tribal society recognise

any system of voting comprehensible to the West. Tribal society is ruled by the chief, his headmen and village heads, none of them elected. The system is an excellent one, admirably suited to the people whom it serves. Yet it is quite incompatible with Western ideas on the subject.

WELFARE STATE FOR BLACKS

Rhodesia has for three generations operated a welfare state for the Black man. As a result there are now about 5 million Blacks, over 50% of them under 18 years of age. It is not hard to understand that about a quarter of a million Whites view with dismay the thought of "one man one vote" under these circumstances.

The British political system operates among a reasonably homogeneous people, with a long tradition of restraint and fair play. A party which displeases the electorate can, at least in theory, be evicted from government and replaced. In Rhodesia the clash is not between party and party but between races. In England the Whites heavily outnumber the coloured Immigrants whom they have been foolish enough to allow to enter. In Rhodesia the Whites, who have built up Rhodesia from savagery, are heavily outnumbered by a people just emerging from the second stage of barbarism.

Perhaps the most cogent reason for the refusal of the White Rhodesians to hand over to Black rule is that there is no way back should it prove a mistake. Once in power the Black will immediately disarm the White. We know this to be true since it has happened in every Black country in Africa. There is no evidence to support the idea that

the Rhodesian Black will be different. Further, under one man one vote there will not be a White face in the Rhodesian parliament. Should he submit to Black rule and find that he has made a mistake the White man, disarmed and without a voice in Parliament, has no way of redressing his mistake. For him there is no way back. With the evidence of Black Africa before our eyes, and our knowledge of our African people, few Rhodesians are capable of such an act of faith.

That great man Abraham Lincoln put the matter in a nutshell in his speech at Ottawa, Illinois on August 21st 1858 in these words: ". . . I have no purpose to introduce political and social equality between the black and white races. There is a physical difference between the two which, in my judgement, will forever forbid their living together upon the footing of perfect equality; and inasmuch as it becomes a necessity that there must be a difference, I am in favour of the race to which I belong having the superior position."

The White Rhodesian position has never been better stated.

If the White does not rule in Rhodesia, he will perish. We know that this is so because it is the lesson which history teaches us. Whatever the consequences we have no choice because nothing which the future holds for us can be worse than Black rule.

Since the choice of the Whites in Rhodesia must be either to rule or to die it is futile to talk to us of "world opinion", "human rights" and the other egalitarian cliches mouthed by a Western world which has temporarily lost its senses.

We have no choice.

How to obtain SPEARHEAD

Spearhead is available from our office to those who wish to ensure obtaining copies for themselves every month and to those who wish to obtain quantities for redistribution.

Those wishing for copies for themselves each month should take out a subscription by filling in the form below and sending it to us with a cheque or postal order for the amount applicable.

NAME

ADDRESS

The following rates are for 12 issues (please tick in box where applicable):—

British Isles: £3.56 Overseas unsealed surface mail: £3.80 Overseas sealed surface mail: £5.72

Unsealed air-mail Europe: £5.36 Unsealed air mail Canada, U.S.A., South America, Africa, Middle East: £5.66 Unsealed air-mail Australia, New Zealand, Far East: £6.38

Please note: These overseas rates apply if remittance is by international money order; if remittance is by cheque an additional charge of 25p applies, as our bankers require this as commission for the handling of all foreign cheques.

Discounts for bulk purchases can be obtained as follows:—
10-19 copies: 16p ea.; 20-49 copies: 14p ea.; 50-99 copies: 12p ea.; 100-199 copies: 11p ea.;
200-499 copies: 9p ea.; 500 copies and over: 8p ea. (For advice on postal rates, please contact our office).

All cheques or postal orders should be made out to *Spearhead* and sent to: 91 Connaught Road, Teddington, Middlesex



THE RHODESIAN SPIRIT
Girl tennis player with rifle in guerrilla-plagued border area

Trouble shooting

The Anal Challenge

On Thursday 10th November a press conference was called at the Palace of Westminster to announce the formation of a "new" organisation devoted to destroying the National Front. Its name: The Anti-Nazi League (ANAL for short!)

Reports indicated that the chief 'front man' for the group is the former Young Liberal Peter Hain who clearly hopes his ANAL efforts will be rewarded by adoption as a candidate for a safe Labour seat!

The first thing for everybody to be aware of is that ANAL is not "a new organisation."

It is just a revamping of the anti-National Front network which has been in operation ever since the NF was formed ten years ago and which is co-ordinated by the so-called Defence Committee of the Zionist Board of Deputies of British Jews.

Why has this revamping come about? The reason is that the anti-NF movement thought at the start of this year that the new Race Relations Act would be used to crush the NF. But due to squabbles within the Race Relations industry over who should have what plum job and at what inflated salary the new Act did not come into force until June.

By that time the NF had shocked the Establishment and greatly impressed the public by beating the Liberal Party (yet again) in the Stechford Parliamentary by-election; by polling an aggregate total of approaching a quarter of a million votes in the local elections in May — and in particular by achieving an aggregate total of over 119,000 votes in the Greater London Council elections.

Thus the NF had proved beyond doubt that it has substantial and nationwide popular support among the voters, and thus it became politically impossible for the new Race Act to be used the way the Zionists and Reds thought it could be used by the time it had force of law in June. One cannot bring to trial and subject to martyrdom the leaders of a political party which has the proven support of hundreds of thousands of voters and the clear potential support of hundreds of thousands — if not millions — more!

Having had the chalice of Race Act repression dashed so rudely from their lips by the voters, the anti-NF movement became quite hysterical and in that hysteria made the mistake of thinking that they could dispose of the NF by mass violence.

Here remember that the so-called "peaceful" anti-NF march through Lewisham (which contained Socialist Workers and

Marxists of every other stripe, and who were armed with clubs, knives and acid sprays) was led not merely by 'The Red Bishop' of Southwark, Mervyn Stockwood, and the Labour Mayor of Lewisham, but also by Martin Savitt, the Chairman of the Defence Committee of the Board of Deputies of British Jews. (Only the *Jewish Chronicle* and the *Morning Star* reported that fact!)

The violence of the armed rabble who proceeded from the "peaceful" march to attack the Police and then, later, to attack the National Front marchers in Clifton Rise was so appalling that sensible anti-NF campaigners started to voice doubts about the effectiveness of such violence.

These doubts were re-enforced after the inexcusable rioting and looting which took place outside the NF election meeting in Ladywood, Birmingham a few days after the Lewisham riot — especially since the NF, once again, beat the Liberals in that election.

One last effort to employ mob violence against the NF was tried in connection with the NF's planned march in Hyde, Cheshire. Colin Barnett, Secretary of the N.W. Council of the T.U.C. (who announced on the platform of one meeting this year that he is "half Jewish") threatened to mobilise "20,000 anti-fascists" to stop the NF march and meeting.

This threat induced the Chief Constable of Greater Manchester to ban the NF activity, and the Reds and Zionists thought that violence had paid off at last. But their "victory" turned to a propaganda rout for two reasons:

Firstly, the NF held a "secret" march in another part of Manchester on 8th October which, due to the highly publicised absence of the Red Mob was not marked by any serious trouble.

Secondly, because on the same day Yours Truly held a solo-walk with a Union Jack flag along the proposed route of the Hyde march and had to be escorted by so many Policemen that the point was made that it was not I nor the NF that was out for trouble, but the howling Red hooligans.

Those two activities illustrated clearly: (1) who were the causes of the violence; (2) that as long as the NF was not prepared to be intimidated its activities could not be "banned"; (3) and that by circumventing attempted bans the NF could obtain for itself more good publicity than if its originally-planned activities had been allowed to go ahead unmolested.

The publicity/propaganda victory for the NF arising out of the Hyde activities, and the consequent propaganda debacle for the anti-NF movement, caused the Zionist inspirators to re-think their tactics. In this

regard it is noteworthy that in October Mr. Savitt told the Board of Deputies his organisation should not be associated in future with activities which led to violent street confrontations with the National Front.

Not that Mr. Savitt and the lads down at Woburn Place had given up the fight. Not at all. They went back to the drawing boards and — Hey Presto! — the Anti-Nazi League was born!

Now ANAL, according to Press Spokesperson Peter Hain, will be "non-violent". It would simply concentrate on "educating" the British electorate by means of smear leaflets at election times and through the pronouncements of sub-groups like "Actors Against the Nazis" led by the very lovely Miriam Karlin.

How "non-violent" ANAL will be can be judged from the fact that among the persons it has accepted on to its Executive (as Organising Secretary) is Paul Holborow (itz?), a member of the Central Committee of the Socialist Workers Party which is publicly committed to "smashing the National Front off the streets by violence."

We shall see if the Zionists are prepared to pump enough cash into the S.W.P. to keep the Trots on leafletting and off trying to "smash the fash".

So far as Peter Hain is concerned, if he is the best Press spokesperson the Zionists can get for ANAL, then they must be pretty hard up!

Hain, it will be remembered, wrote an article entitled "In Memory of John Harris" in the April 1969 issue of *Liberal News*. The article was a "tribute" to the memory of a vicious and cowardly terrorist who crept into Johannesburg railway station in South Africa and left behind a bomb which exploded and killed a Mrs. Rhyl, a 77 year old lady, and maimed for life a 12 year old girl.

In a letter to the *Richmond Herald* (London) in early 1970 Mrs. E. Burleigh, the grandmother of the maimed girl wrote:

"... My grand-daughter is scarred for life . . . She is now 18 and waiting for the final operation on her face. She has no ears, her hair won't grow and her hands are scarred with burns. She is lucky to be alive. She has been in and out of hospital for four years for skin-grafting . . ."

The man whose handiwork that was is a hero of Peter Hain, the spokesman of the Anti-Nazi League.

The NF is now preparing a special leaflet for mass distribution detailing the past and current activities and statements of those connected with ANAL which Hain, Holborow (itz?), Savitt and all the rest of "The Boys" are just going to LOVE!

The Editor,
Spearhead,
91 Connaught Road,
 Teddington, Middx.

Letters

SIR: As an ex-regular soldier in the Royal Armoured Corps, I can testify to the damage done to the Army by our present government. Due to defence cuts, tanks can only do six hundred miles a year, tank guns fired for 10-14 days, once a year. Even the armoured soldier's personal weapon is fired only once a year in normal circumstances.

I had a tank off the road for over a year waiting for a new pack. As front line troops, we were equipped with ten year old MK 2 tanks. For a time we were allowed to buy our own military style boots and shirts, because the Stores couldn't get any! A disgrace? It is when we can't get new vehicles or spares because the government is selling it to the Shah of Persia and the Arabs. We didn't get the new Chobham armour either, but they did! They got all the new Rolls Royce tank engines. We've got some! Is it treason that the government reduces our forces to that extent?

Then as I've left the forces, I'm hit by the Immigrant problem. How can I get work and accommodation? I'm White! As I've just returned to the country, I've got to wait five years before I'm considered for housing.

AN EX-SOLDIER
London S.W.19

SIR: Frequently one hears establishment politicians explaining to the electorate the impossibility of reversing, and thus remedying, the social evil inflicted upon us by their importing into this island floods of unassimilable coloured aliens. One aspect of their argument is the logistical problem of sending these people to various destinations around the globe. Yet those same politicians did not hesitate to dispatch abroad millions of white Britons when it suited them. For instance, during the 1914-18 conflict 5,704,416 men were enlisted or conscripted into the armed forces. The majority were then transported to various foreign parts amongst them Mesopotamia and the Dardanelles. During the 1939-45 war, 40,179,000 were conscripted, then dispatched to Burma, Malaya, North Africa etc. All this was achieved under the tremendous pressure of war with the submarine

menace ever present. By comparison how simple for a peacetime government to locate, by census, its aliens, and with some financial inducement, arrange in phased stages their departure from our air and seaports to the country of their origin. And when those same politicians mention the 'hardship' involved, what about the five million white Britons who, since the war, have given up homes and jobs to emigrate to such as New Zealand, Canada or Australia. Why should anyone consider it more inhumane for the coloured population here to do the same? Thanks also to new laws passed by the recently elected Indian government, all their ex-patriates living abroad now have automatic right of entry. All we need is a government with the will.

G. A. WILSON,
Blidworth, Notts.

SIR: As a recent but regular visitor to NF meetings, I was quite fascinated when I obtained my copy of the No. 106 (June) *Spearhead* with the article on Menachem Begin.

As a British Constable with the Palestine Police Force from 1945 until we were sent home in 1948, I was heavily involved with many of the disgraceful incidents of those times and particularly with two which you report. The armoured vehicles stationed in Tel Aviv, of which I was a crew member, were engaged with many other units in the search for the two Army Sergeants Paice and Martin, and the

termination of the operation with the discovery of the bodies in the grove at Nathanya is an experience which I would not care to repeat.

On that same evening the alarm bells sounded in our quarters in Tel Aviv and we "turned out". Some shooting incidents occurred in the town resulting in the killing of five Jewish people, and on return to base, 33 British Policemen including myself were closely confined and subjected to a very harsh examination with a view, we were told, to serious charges being preferred. Shades of Bloody Sunday! The following day's newspapers which were handed to me at Lydda Airport by a BOAC crew member reported the incident at length, and in one small column printed the statement by a certain Mr. Ben Hecht in America saying that "each time a British Serviceman is killed in Palestine, I have a little holiday in my heart." It was probably at this time that many of us realised that our antagonists were not only in the back alleys of Tel Aviv, but in Westminster as well.

The second item of interest is of the murdered policemen in the September of the same year outside Barclay's Bank in Allenby Road, Tel Aviv. These were all friends of mine and we later buried them in the military cemetery at Ramle.

There are many stories to be told of Palestine, all of which indict Begin as one of the worst types of "War Criminal".

T. J. DOOLEY,
Tonbridge, Kent

LETTER OF THE MONTH

Spearhead publishes the best letter to the press on National Front policy every month. Send your cutting to us not later than the 15th of the previous month. You could win a £1 Nationalist Books voucher. This month's winner (below) was published in the *Leicester Mercury*.

NATIONAL FRONT WILL INDEED CHANGE COUNTRY AS WE KNOW IT

It has been suggested in a recent article in the *Leicester Mercury* that the National Front is not Right wing but Left wing.

This assumption is incorrect. The National Front is neither Right nor Left of the political spectrum but is intent on using those policies which will prove beneficial and will not be tied to traditional policies which identify existing establishment parties.

Your conclusion is drawn simply by comparing National Front monetary policies with those of the Socialists. The truth is that there is no comparison since we are firmly committed to encourage private enterprise to stimulate the economy but stopping well short of monopolistic capitalism. A British nationalist

economy will prevent outsiders with vast amounts of wealth invested in this country from influencing its direction.

The secret Bilderberg conference which takes place annually between leading members of the government and opposition with international financiers will simply not take place under a National Front administration.

It is essential for the future wellbeing of Britain that Parliament reform the monetary system under which private banks can create credit out of nothing and loan it into circulation as an interest-bearing debt. Credit creation must be restored to the crown authority. New credit issued must be related to the gross national product and must be spent into circulation debt-free via public expenditure.

Your observation that the

National Front will change the country as we know it is indeed a fact, although a paradox. Successive governments could not have changed the country "as we know it," more so, if they had tried harder, in such a short space of time. What about the Common Market, metrication, decimalisation, and not least immigration?

True, a National Front government may make mistakes in an effort to bring about a rationalisation of our heritage, but we will never sell out our fellow countrymen by changing our systems and our racial stock for the benefits of international socialism and international capitalism.

GRAHAM EUSTACE, Secretary, Leicester Branch National Front, Humberstone Road, Leicester.

1977 N.F. ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING A TRIUMPH

Hundreds of NF members came away from the party's 1977 Annual General Meeting on 15th October with the feeling that this 10th A.G.M. had been the most inspiring so far in the history of the National Front. Many felt that the A.G.M. concluded and celebrated a year in which the National Front had forged ahead to establish itself on the British political scene with an impact previously unparalleled.

A packed conference suite in a London hotel saw a wide variety of motions debated, the highlight among which was the Constitutional amendment which provided for the minimum age limit for NF membership to be lowered from 16 to 14, and which

formally instituted the young people's section of the party to be known as Young National Front. Proposed by Andrew Brons, Chairman of the Directorate's Education and Training Department, whose speech emphasised the vital role which the YNF would fulfil in future leadership training, the motion was seconded by Kevin Randall, a 16 year old schoolboy who is now the Enfield Branch's YNF Organiser. He received a standing ovation, and the motion was carried unanimously.

Earlier, in the morning session, the meeting carried overwhelmingly motions proposing that a more serious effort be made to inform industry and commerce of the

aims of the National Front, particularly with regard to the championing of small businesses (proposed by Peterborough); and arguing for an energy policy of maximum self-sufficiency based upon British ownership and control of all energy resources (proposed by Hinckley & Bosworth).

The recent suggestion by the Home Secretary to use the iniquitous Race Relations legislation to ban the activities of the National Front was condemned as a monstrous attack on free speech in a Directorate motion supported by Paul Kavanagh and Herbert Andrew.

Speaker after speaker from the floor of the hall rose to support the motion proposed by Directorate member David Bruce which condemned the Owen plan to permit the Black Rhodesian terrorists to form the basis of the armed forces of Rhodesia. The meeting unanimously indicted Dr. Owen, the Foreign Secretary, with responsibility for the bayonetting of six month-old baby girl Natasha Glennie by terrorists of the so-called "Patriotic Front".

GOVERNMENT ENCOURAGEMENT OF MARXIST VIOLENCE DEPLORED

In the wake of the violence at Lewisham caused by extreme Left-wing opponents of the National Front, a motion proposed by National Activities Organiser Martin Webster deplored the encouragement given by the Government to Marxist violence against the NF, and its deliberate lack of resolve to maintain the peace as a pretext for invoking bans on National Front activities. The meeting unanimously carried recommendations for amendments to the Public Order Act, in particular that the Police should have the power to ban counter-demonstrations likely to lead to violence; and that hooligans convicted of attacks on the Police should receive a mandatory jail sentence of not less than three months.

Debate of resolutions was concluded in the afternoon session with motions con-



Sixteen year-old Kevin Randall of Enfield seconds the motion which established the NF's youth organisation, Young National Front. On the platform (left to right) Andrew Brons, John Tyndall, Martin Webster, John Harrison-Broadley, Richard Verrall and Malcolm Smith.

demning the outrageous level of unemployment and calling for strict import controls and greater incentives for British industry; and re-affirming the National Front's commitment to go into the next General Election as the only party pledged to withdraw Britain from the Common Market.

Speeches by leading NF personalities followed the debate of resolutions: Andrew Brons on the election strategy of the party; Richard Verrall on propaganda; and a memorable speech by NF Deputy Chairman Andrew Fountaine on the need for British resurgence which included a powerful denunciation of the treason of the Tory Party. Paul Kavanagh spoke on the vital importance to the party of the new Headquarters building project, and Martin Webster reviewed the year's national activities, reaffirming our determination to march on regardless of the violence intended to stop our young party, a speech which brought thunderous approval from the membership.

NF Chairman John Tyndall then awarded the Branch Recruitment Cup for the year 1977, won by Birmingham, as well as special commendations and 12 Gold Badges for outstanding service.

Following Martin Webster's appeal for funds, which raised almost £1,400, the meeting ended with the closing address to the members by the Chairman of the National Front, John Tyndall. The full text of that speech, which won a sustained standing ovation from the platform and the floor, is reprinted in full in this issue.

The meeting was ably chaired by the honorary President of the National Front, Squadron-Leader John Harrison-Broadley.

NF Bournemouth candidate

Fighting the Bournemouth East Parliamentary by-election for the National Front is Captain Kenneth McKilliam, of Ashford, Kent.

Capt. McKilliam, a former schoolmaster, served in the Special Services Commandos in Somalia and Kenya. He was Staff Officer, East Africa Command Headquarters. In 1948 he joined the Colonial Service, working in Kenya and Uganda training African ex-Servicemen. He also travelled all over the Far East, Australia and America. He was an advisor to U.N.E.S.C.O. in community development, where he worked in Malaysia and Sierra Leone.

Returning to Britain, he was responsible for a research project for the City & Guilds of London Institute on the selection and training of instructors in British industry.

Capt. McKilliam has been a Deputy Chief Commissioner of the Boy Scouts, and has been a lay reader of the Church of England for over thirty years. Chairman of Ashford NF Group, he is a foundation member of the National Front.

First indications from the campaign were of significant support from disillusioned Conservative voters, many of whom packed the first NF public meeting at a Bournemouth school where the candidate was supported by NF Chairman John Tyndall.



KENNETH MCKILLIAM

John Tyndall weds NF girl



We are delighted to announce that John Tyndall, Chairman of the National Front, was married on Saturday, November 19th to Valerie, the daughter of Charles and Violet Parker of Brighton, Sussex. Valerie has been a staunch NF activist for four years and is a member of Brighton Branch.

The church ceremony was attended by sixty guests. Members of the NF Executive Council and local Branch activists joined friends and relatives at the service and at the reception which followed at the bride's

home. The couple honeymooned in the West Country.

Valerie and her family are all dedicated NF members. She campaigned for her father when he stood as the National Front's Parliamentary candidate in the very successful Walsall North by-election.

We are sure that we express the feelings of the whole movement in our congratulations to the couple, and in our warm good wishes for their future happiness.

NF Chairman John Tyndall addresses the meeting.

Death of a British Industry

OF ALL the world's natural resources, the most essential is food — without it nothing else is possible. The ever-expanding population of the "Third World" ensures an ever-increasing competition for what is available. As an island people, our fisheries could be vital to our survival.

Until recently, the East Anglian herring fishery was the greatest in the world, and the Lowestoft drifting fleet alone numbered over 200 vessels. Today not one solitary boat remains to carry on a tradition of livelihood rooted in our history. The herring stocks in the North Sea have been depleted to such an extent that a belated total ban on catching has been imposed.

How has this disappearance of a vital industry and vital food come about? The implications for our national economy and survival are far reaching.

The common herring has for long been our most plentiful and commercially important fish. One of the cheapest sources of protein available, it has exerted a great influence on European history. The rise of the Hanseatic League was principally due to its herring fisheries, and its downfall became inevitable when the fish mysteriously disappeared from the Baltic.

The provision of herring for Christendom on its meatless days was then taken over by the Dutch, so that it was said that Amsterdam was "built on herring bones". To his attempts to levy tribute from them for fishing in our waters may be attributed "ship money" and the downfall and execution of Charles 1st. His policies were carried on by his successors, and it was in the "herring wars" of 1652-54 that the British defeated the Dutch maritime power and established our enduring naval supremacy.

During the early part of the 19th



Traditional British herring fleet — a disappearing sight

century the great fleets of Scottish herring luggers were built, and a thousand sail would come South to fish off Yorkshire during the early Autumn and off East Anglia during October and November. The industry grew and flourished, and the sale of pickled herring began to make a contribution to our export trade. Together with the boats would come the herring lasses and the fish wives, to bring prosperity and colour and song to the ports.

It is a long time now since such a sight has been seen in East Anglian waters! For this, although scientific opinions vary, there is no doubt that over-fishing and fishing for immature fish by foreign trawlers is mainly responsible.

During the larger part of the day they spend on the bottom, the herring are immune to nets. This led to the development



14,000 TON POLISH 'MOTHER SHIP'
Such trawlers can process hundreds of tons
of fish per day

of a new technique using special fast trawls to skim the sea bed. Continental trawlers began to work in pairs, scooping up the fish from their spawning grounds in the Channel and not sparing the small fish, which were used as cattle food.

After the Danes began trawling for immature fish in 1948, they were quickly followed by the Russians and the Poles, whose giant "mother ships" converted the catches into meal and oil. The effect of this over-fishing was first noticed on East Anglian catches in 1954. Noted Specialist Dr. Hodgson warned "The herring stocks . . . have been feeding Europe's population for hundreds of years — it has yet to be proved that they can feed Europe's livestock!"

In 1961, the Fisheries Research Laboratory at Lowestoft urged that the Southern Bight should be totally closed to herring fishing for five years. This was not acceptable to the French, who demanded reciprocal concessions in other areas which countries affected, notably the Danes, refused to give. Whilst the haggling went on, the ravage of the spawning stock continued. Successive British governments remained apathetic.

With the disappearance of the East Anglian fishery, the worst fears of the conservationists were realised. It is now certain that, unless the present trend in other areas off our shores is not only halted but reversed, our grandchildren may come to regard herring and kippers as a luxury dish comparable to the trout and the oyster. And after the herring, other species will follow.

The lesson is clear. We must protect our fishing grounds, a vital source of self-produced food. Never again must foreign factory ships be allowed to "vacuum cleaner" our home water to feed their cattle. Adequate territorial limits must not only be established, but rigidly enforced. British interests must come first in British seas as on British land, and the National Front must see that these interests are served.

And then one day, if we are lucky, once again the little drifters will sail from our Eastern seaboard when the "herring moon" is high in the sky. If history is any judge, our very survival may depend on it.

FIND OUT ABOUT THE NATIONAL FRONT

Send 20p for information pack.

To: NATIONAL FRONT
91 CONNAUGHT ROAD
TEDDINGTON, MIDDX.
(Tel. 01-977 2452)

Name _____

Address _____

I enclose _____